UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/576,117	04/18/2006	Ulrich Bohne	3628	4805
Striker, Striker	7590 03/31/200 & Stenby	EXAMINER		
103 East Neck I	Road	DEXTER, CLARK F		
Huntington, NY 11743			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/31/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany	10/576,117	BOHNE, ULRICH			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Clark F. Dexter	3724			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this or D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the me					
closed in accordance with the practice under E					
Disposition of Claims					
<u> </u>					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 April 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		(1)			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	⊢(d) or (t).			
a) ☐ All b) ☑ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National	Stage		
application from the International Bureau					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/18/06; 10/9/08</u> .	6) Other:				

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Germany on September 13, 2004. It is noted, however, that a certified copy of the German application has not been received in the application file.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statements filed on April 18, 2006 and October 9, 2008 have been received and the references listed thereon have been considered.

Abstract

3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Art Unit: 3724

4. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it includes more than one paragraph, because of the use of "means" in line 4, and because "(Fig. 2) should be deleted. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Objections

5. Claims 1-8 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 1, line 2, "having" is not sufficiently clear as to what it refers.

In claim 6, line 3, the recitation "by means" is not sufficiently clear and it is suggested to delete "means".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wurst, Pub. No. 2002/0104421.

Wurst discloses a tool unit with every structural limitation of the claimed invention including:

a fastening means (e.g., 26) for attachment to the output unit, and having a working edge (e.g., 24) that transitions into a lateral boundary line (e.g., 32, 34; or any

line, which by definition is imaginary, that extends from the working edge), wherein the working edge is arc-shaped;

[claim 2] wherein the arc-shaped working edge is constituted by the circumference of a circle around whose center point the fastening means is situated (e.g., see paragraph 0042, lines 7-9);

[claim 3] wherein at least one end of the working edge is situated at an angle of less than or equal to 95° in relation to the lateral boundary line on at least one side (e.g., a boundary line can be drawn that meets this limitation);

[claim 4] wherein the working edge is constituted by the circumference section of a circle sector and each of the two ends of the working edge is situated at an angle in relation to a respective lateral boundary line extending in the radial direction;

[claim 5 (from 4)] wherein the circle sector extends over an angular range of between 30° and 270° (e.g., see paragraph 0047);

[claim 6 (from 4)] wherein the radially extending boundary lines are connected to each other by means a connecting contour (e.g., the inner portion of the tool unit) before they reach the center point;

[claim 7] wherein the working edge is constituted by the circumference of a circle segment and each of the two ends of the working edge is situated at an angle in relation to a respective lateral boundary line, each of which is essentially constituted by the straight section of the circle segment;

[claim 8] wherein the working edge is provided with saw teeth.

Art Unit: 3724

8. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Treace, pn 2,557,364

Treace discloses a tool unit (e.g., see Figure 5) with every structural limitation of the claimed invention including:

a fastening means (e.g., at 21) for attachment to the output unit, and having a working edge (e.g., 24) that transitions into a lateral boundary line (e.g., the edges extending radially from 24; or any line, which by definition is imaginary, that extends from the working edge), wherein the working edge is arc-shaped;

[claim 2] wherein the arc-shaped working edge is constituted by the circumference of a circle around whose center point the fastening means is situated (e.g., see col. 2, line 40);

[claim 3] wherein at least one end of the working edge is situated at an angle of less than or equal to 95° in relation to the lateral boundary line on at least one side (e.g., the radially extending edges of the blade and/or any boundary line that can be drawn that meets this limitation);

[claim 4] wherein the working edge is constituted by the circumference section of a circle sector and each of the two ends of the working edge is situated at an angle in relation to a respective lateral boundary line extending in the radial direction;

[claim 5 (from 4)] wherein the circle sector extends over an angular range of between 30° and 270°;

[claim 6 (from 4)] wherein the radially extending boundary lines are connected to each other by means a connecting contour (e.g., the inner portion of the tool unit) before they reach the center point;

[claim 7] wherein the working edge is constituted by the circumference of a circle segment and each of the two ends of the working edge is situated at an angle in relation to a respective lateral boundary line, each of which is essentially constituted by the straight section of the circle segment;

[claim 8] wherein the working edge is provided with saw teeth.

9. Claims 1, 3 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Paulus, pn 4,114,493 (hereafter "Paulus '493).

Paulus '493 discloses a tool unit with every structural limitation of the claimed invention including:

a fastening means (e.g., at 22) for attachment to the output unit, and having a working edge (e.g., 26) that transitions into a lateral boundary line (e.g., 27, 28, or any line, which by definition is imaginary, that extends from the working edge), wherein the working edge is arc-shaped;

[claim 3] wherein at least one end of the working edge is situated at an angle of less than or equal to 95° in relation to the lateral boundary line on at least one side (e.g., at 25, and/or any other boundary line that can be drawn that meets this limitation);

[claim 8] wherein the working edge is provided with saw teeth.

Art Unit: 3724

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Clark F. Dexter whose telephone number is (571)272-4505. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays, Tuesdays, Thursdays and Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer D. Ashley can be reached on (571)272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Clark F. Dexter/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3724

cfd

March 26, 2009